

# JN0-692<sup>Q&As</sup>

Service Provider Routing and Switching Support, Professional

## Pass Juniper JN0-692 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.pass2lead.com/jn0-692.html>

100% Passing Guarantee  
100% Money Back Assurance


Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Juniper  
Official Exam Center

- ⚙️ **Instant Download** After Purchase
- ⚙️ **100% Money Back** Guarantee
- ⚙️ **365 Days** Free Update
- ⚙️ **800,000+** Satisfied Customers



**QUESTION 1**

Click the Exhibit button.



Referring to the exhibit, Customer A is complaining that no OSPF routes are being received across your Layer 3 VPN. You suspect that a problem exists with the PE-CE protocol. The core network is operational. Which operational command on PE1 helps troubleshoot this problem?

- A. show ospf neighbor
- B. show bgp summary
- C. show ospf neighbor instance customer-a
- D. show bgp summary instance customer-a

Correct Answer: C

**QUESTION 2**

Click the Exhibit button.

```
[edit protocols mpls]

user@Eoston# show

label-switched-path Boston-to-Seattle {

    to 192.168.10.100;

    bandwidth 6g;

    priority 5 4;

}

label-switched-path Boston-to-Denver {

    to 192.168.10.200;

    bandwidth 6g;

    priority 4 4;

}

...
```

A network administrator has configured the LSPs shown in the exhibit on the ingress router of a 10-Gigabit Ethernet network

Which statement is true?

- A. Both LSPs will establish and remain established.
- B. The Boston-to-Denver LSP will establish and remain established.
- C. The Boston-to-Seattle LSP will establish and remain established.
- D. Neither LSP will remain established.

Correct Answer: B

### QUESTION 3

You have assigned target:65432:100 as the route target for Customer A's BGP Layer 2 VPN. The PE1 router VRF is configured with vrf-target export target:65432:100. Which configuration on PE2 correctly assigned Customer A's routes to their VRF?

- A. vrf-target target:65432:100
- B. route-target target:65432:100

- C. vrf-target export target:65432:100
- D. route-target export target:65432:100

Correct Answer: A

---

#### QUESTION 4

You are facing BGP scaling issues and decide to add dedicated route reflectors to your network. You notice that VPN routes are not being advertised by your route reflectors. Which three actions can you take to solve this? (Choose three.)

- A. Add a static default route to inet.3 and/or inet6.3 on the route reflectors.
- B. Add a full mesh of MPLS LSPs between all of the route reflectors.
- C. Add MPLS LSPs between the route reflectors and their client routers.
- D. Add a static default route to inet.3 and/or inet6.3 on all of the client routers.
- E. Use rib-groups to add IGP routes to inet.3 and/or inet6.3 on the route reflectors.

Correct Answer: ACE

---

#### QUESTION 5

Click the Exhibit button.

R1 Configuration:

```
user@R1> show isis interface
IS-IS interface database:
Interface          L CirID Level 1 DR      Level 2 DR      L1/L2 Metric
ge-1/0/2.0         3  0x1 R1.00             R1.00           10/10
lo0.0              0  0x1 Passive          Passive         0/0

root@R1> show configuration interfaces
ge-1/0/2 {
  mtu 1450;
  unit 0 {
    family inet {
      address 10.10.10.1/24;
    }
    family iso;
  }
}
lo0 {
  unit 0 {
    family inet {
      address 192.168.1.2/32;
    }
    family iso {
      address 49.0002.0000.0000.0002.00;
    }
  }
}

user@R1> show configuration protocols
isis {
  interface ge-1/0/2.0;
  interface lo0.0;
}
```

R2 Configuration

```
user@R2> show isis interface
IS-IS interface database:
Interface          L CirID level 1 DR      Level 2 DR      L1/L2 Metric
ge-1/0/0.0         3   0x1 R2.00              R2.00              10/10
lo0.0              0   0x1 Passive          Passive            0/0
```

```
root@R2> show configuration interfaces
ge-1/0/0 {
  mtu 1450;
  unit 0 {
    family inet {
      address 10.10.10.2/24;
    }
    family iso;
  }
}
lo0 {
  unit 0 {
    family inet {
      address 192.168.3.1/32;
    }
    family iso {
      address 49.0001.0000.0000.0001.00
    }
  }
}
}
```

```
user@R2> show configuration protocols
isis {
  interface ge-1/0/0.0;
  interface lo0.0;
}
```

R1 and R2 are directly connected using the interfaces shown in the exhibit. R1 can ping R2's interface, and R2 can ping R1's interface. The IS-IS adjacency will not come up. What is causing the adjacency to fail?

- A. The correct levels are not configured under protocols isis.
- B. The link MTU is too small to support IS-IS.
- C. Authentication is not properly configured for the adjacency.
- D. Both routers are configured as the DR, causing a conflict.

Correct Answer: B

[Latest JN0-692 Dumps](#)

[JN0-692 PDF Dumps](#)

[JN0-692 Practice Test](#)